1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	SAN JOSE DIVISION
10	In re APOLLO GROUP, INC.) Case No.: C 06-80270 MISC PVT SECURITIES LITIGATION)
11 12	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY EXHIBITS T, X, Y AND Z TO DIULIO DECLARATION SHOULD NOT BE UNSEALED
13	On September 26, 2006, this court issued an Interim Order re Request to File Under Seal
13 14	which temporarily sealed Exhibits T, X, Y and Z to the Diulio Declaration. In that order the court
15	set a deadline of October 3, 2006, for any party or interested non-party claiming that any information
16	contained in the above-referenced documents warrants sealing under Rule 26(c) to serve and file
1017	declaration(s) from competent witnesses setting forth the specific facts that justify sealing. As of
18	October 6, 2006, no such declarations have been filed. Therefore,
19	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that no later than October 12, 2006, any party or non-party who
20	wants Exhibits T, X, Y and Z to the Diulio Declaration to remain under seal shall file a declaration
21	showing cause why those exhibits should not be unsealed and made part of the public record. ²
22	Dated: 10/6/06
23	Onfricia V. Frumbull PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL
24	United States Magistrate Judge
25	A 11 " "Di-1' - D1ti " t 41 - D1ti CV-it1 DDi-1' - i
2627	As used herein, "Diulio Declaration" refers to the Declaration of Kristopher P. Diulio in Support of Defendant Apollo Group, Inc.'s Opposition to Julie Albertson's and Mary Hendow's Motion to Quash Subpoenas.
20	The court again notes that "Broad allegations of harm, however, unsubstantiated by

Case 5:06-mc-80270-PVT Document 30 Filed 10/06/06 Page 1 of 1

The court again notes that "Broad allegations of harm, however, unsubstantiated by specific examples or articulated reasoning, do not satisfy the Rule 26(c) test." *Beckman Indus., Inc. v. International Ins. Co.*, 966 F.2d 470, 476 (9th Cir. 1992).